Essays from Live Class

The first man to walk on the moon said space exploration was going to change mankind's life. Some people argue that it made only a little change to our lives. Discuss both views and give your opinion.
Introduction Body 1: Discuss Side 1 a. Discuss Viewpoint 1 b. Give Opinion on View Point 1 c. Give example to support Body 2: Discuss Side 2 a. Discuss Viewpoint 2 b. Give Opinion Viewpoint 2 C. Give example to support Conclusion

Space exploration had fascinated humankind since the early 1900s when writers created novels and silent movies about exploring the moon. Finally, in the 1960s, mankind achieved that lofty goal, but since then, a schism formed: moon travel elevated human life, or lunar exploration offered little impact. With these viewpoints in mind, this essay will tackle each vantage point but ultimately side with the moon voyage improving humanity's way of life.

Traveling to the moon embodies extreme challenges, but the benefits paid off in equal measure. A litany of improvements to society's lifestyle ensued after the successful lunar journey: digital flight control, food safety protocols, shock absorbers, computer coding, memory foam, tracking, and achieving the once impossible dream. This last point, the inconceivable dream, explains this essay's strong position favoring moon travel as a boon to the average person. For example, moon-inspired scientists realized even more unthinkable immensely culture-changing aspirations since astronauts blasted off in rockets to Earth's closest planetary body, including smartphones, the internet, and

portable computers. Although the stronger viewpoint favors the lunar landing as a windfall bonanza of growth, the opposing view warrants discussion.

Arguments exist that setting foot on the moon only slightly amended daily life. These rationalizations typically fall under the resolving problems closer to home, such as poverty, racism, and starvation. If we examine the world today, one could contend that these banes to humanity still exist somewhat even in more robust measure than in 1960. For example, the George Floyd national racism protest raged across American in 2021. Be that as it may, this reasoning does not carry strong enough weight since moon-led inventions improved social warriors' ability to report, reveal, change, and comment on the problems closer to home.

Despite unresolved societal problems, the position of little change does not stand up against the onslaught of improvements derived from moon travel. In the future, humans should travel to the moon and resolve human grief in equal measure and cost.

The world should have only one government rather than a national government for each country. Do the advantages of this outweigh the disadvantages?

I. Introduction (Favor Advantages)

II. Body 2: Discuss Disadvantages
- a. Discuss Disadvantages
- b. Why are NOT more important

III. Body 1: Discuss Advantages
- a. Discuss Advantage
- b. Why more important/strong
- C. Discuss Advantage
- d. Why more important/strong

Conclusion

Unlike the UN, an elected one-world government exists beyond an advisory role and embodies final global authority in all matters. Some declare this cosmocracy inevitable, which begs whether if such an empowered international body exists, the benefits outweigh the drawbacks. This essay sets forth that far more upside exists if humanity amalgamates under one governmental roof.

A few potential downsides to world government exists, but not in a measure of importance more than the positives. One pitfall suggests that the wealthiest powerbrokers will control a one-world entity with an iron fist of corruption and selfishness. However, this possibility presents no difference to the current state of the world. Outside of wealth control, an additional argument rests with eliminating voting and founding global tyranny. Be that as it may, has

the right to vote led to improving the world's problems? No, it has not, and the reasons center on a lack of authority to act globally.

After dismissing the disadvantages, examining the advantages reveals overpowering favor. First, a singly authorized leadership could offer controlled disarmament of all the life-ending weapons currently held by unstable madmen. This consideration holds significant importance because human society has faced the brink of ultimate destruction from various voted-on tyrannical leaderships. Besides controlled disarmament, globalized decision-making accelerates various industries: outer space, global pandemics, environmental laws, and easing territorial disputes. The world screams across the current globally controlled social media network for these societal upgrades, and immediately sanctioning global administration pushes this agenda forward. The arguments mentioned above edge out any declaration of drawbacks, presenting a stronger position.

Many citizens fear a global government, but most fear change until they experience it. Remember the fears of switching from kingships to democracies, and now it's time to take the next step from scattered democracies to one-world government. The idealistic world of Star Trek is achievable if we embrace this new path.



Since its inception, the smartphone has revolutionized every facet of society, and none is more impactful than teenagers. However, as smartphones cheapen due to competition, most teens singularly possess one, which begs an exploration of the benefits and drawbacks of this phenomenon. Therefore, this essay will highlight teenage smartphone ownership advantages and disadvantages while supplying an opinion on each.

By and large, providing cell phones to young adults holds several gains worth discussing. At the outset, these phones greatly enhance teenage education by allowing online research, note-taking, textbooks, recorded lectures, and educational apps. Imagine that a student struggling with grammar homework could activate the Khan Academy application and receive insightful grammar lessons. Apart from education, smartphones relax parental safety concerns since these phones allow for tracking and emergency contact. Overall this paper opines that these benefits speak highly on the prospect of a minor owning a smartphone as any parent wants their child to hold every